UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,241	12/16/2004	Roger Philip Duffy	038665.55712US	8336
23911 CROWELL &	7590 06/29/2009 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
P.O. BOX 1430 WASHINGTO	00 N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wildim (6161), 26 200 / 1 1300		·	1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/518,241	DUFFY, ROGER PHILIP	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	BENJAMIN UTECH	1794	
-			

his is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 17 June 2009.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. Inde reasons why a review is appropriate. Is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal by brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period stice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 17 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-9,11-Claim(s) withdrawn from core	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>BENJAMIN UTECH</u> .	(3) William Watkins III.
(2) <u>David Sample</u> .	(4)
Benjamin L. Utech/ Primary Examiner	/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art